

1 PHILLIP A. TALBERT
2 Acting United States Attorney
3 CAMERON L. DESMOND
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 501 I Street, Suite 10-100
6 Sacramento, CA 95814
7 Telephone: (916) 554-2700
8 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

9
10
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 United States of America

13
14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
17 Plaintiff,
18 v.
19 CLARENCE COURTNEY AND
20 MICHAEL RENAY WILLIAMS,
21 Defendants.

22 CASE NO. 2:20-CR-00241-MCE
23 AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING
24 EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY
25 TRIAL ACT; ORDER
26 DATE: May 20, 2021
27 TIME: 10:00 a.m.
28 COURT: Hon. Morrison C. England, Jr.

29
30 **STIPULATION**

31 1. By previous order, this matter was set for a status on May 13, 2021. On its own motion,
32 the Court vacated and continued the status hearing to May 20, 2021.

33 2. By this stipulation, defendants now move to continue the status conference until August
34 19, 2021, and to exclude time between May 13, 2021, and August 19, 2021, under Local Code T4.

35 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

36 a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case
37 includes multiple reports and photographs. All of this discovery has been either produced
38 directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

39 b) Counsel for defendants desire additional time to review the evidence, conduct
40 independent factual investigation, conduct legal research into sentencing issues, and discuss trial

1 strategy and resolution options with their clients. Additionally, counsel for Clarence Courtney
2 has been dealing with his client's health issues while in custody, which has impacted counsel's
3 ability to prepare for trial, further necessitating the continuance.

4 c) Counsel for defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested
5 continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
6 account the exercise of due diligence.

7 d) The government does not object to the continuance.

8 e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the
9 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the
10 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

11 f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,
12 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 13, 2021 to August 19, 2021,
13 inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]
14 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of
15 the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest
16 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

17 [Continued on next page]

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: May 12, 2021

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
Acting United States Attorney

/s/ CAMERON L. DESMOND
CAMERON L. DESMOND
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: May 12, 2021

/s/ Chris Cosca

Chris Cosca
Counsel for Defendant
Clarence Courtney

Dated: May 12, 2021

/s/ Toni White

Toni White
Counsel for Defendant
Michael Renay Williams

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 17, 2021


MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE